



Capital Expenditure Modelling for Strategic Mine Scheduling

> Leon Blackwell Minemax Pty Ltd

AusIMM SMP 2014 November 2014









Strategic Schedule Optimization

- Longer-term scheduling
 - -Simplifications made for technical reasons
- Maximising schedule profitability (NPV)
 - -What to schedule
 - -What not to schedule
 - -When to schedule
 - -How to schedule
- Multiple Techniques
 - -Heuristic
 - -Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP)
 - Custom
 - Generic



Capital Expenditure

Existing modelling often does not consider CapEx

- -Mine site lease
- -Processing plant construction
- -Mining and moving equipment purchase
- Decisions often made before or after scheduling
 - -Lost potential for optimal CapEx/schedule



- Number Available (Instancing)
 - -Multiple instances of the same resource
 - -Trucks, excavators, etc
- Limited Lifespan (Expiry)
 - -Not all equipment lasts the duration of the mine
 - -Particularly important for longer term operations
- Resource Degradation (Decay)
 - Decreased performance
 - Increased maintenance



Modelling properties and relationships

- Settling Periods (Ramp Up)
 - -Plant takes time to perfect
 - -Operators learning
- Delayed Delivery
 - -Construction time
 - -Delivery time
 - -Purchase cost in the correct period for correct discounting
- Purchase Options (Mutually Exclusive Sets)
 - -Different sized ports or plants
 - -Alternate options for shipping products



Modelling properties and relationships

- Order of Availability (Precedences)
 - -Rail sequencing
 - -Rail before port
- Expansions
 - -Additional capacity through extension
 - -Step-wise non-linear expansions
 - -Precedences can control timing



Application study: Setup

- Hypothetical Mining Operation
 - -Single element
 - -Unrefined
 - -3 pits (Pit A, Pit B, Pit C)
 - Artificial ore body
 - Pit-optimized in Minemax Planner
 - -2 ports (Port X, Port Y)
 - -Rail connectivity
 - -12 years of expected operation
 - -No existing infrastructure









Application study: Setup

• Trucks

Turch	Coot	Capacity							
Iruck	Cost	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th				
Big	\$9 000 000	9 000 000 t	10 000 000 t	10 000 000 t	8 000 000 t				
Small	\$4 000 000	3 800 000 t	4 000 000 t	4 000 000 t	3 700 000 t				

• Port options

Port	Option	Capacity	Purchase Cost
	X1	2 000 000 t/year	\$300 000 000
X	X2	3 000 000 t/year	\$500 000 000
	Х3	4 000 000 t/year	\$800 000 000
	Y1	4 000 000 t/year	\$1 000 000 000
Y	Y2	5 000 000 t/year	\$1 250 000 000
	Y3	6 000 000 t/year	\$1 500 000 000



Application study: Setup





Application study: Implementation

- Four incremental scenarios
 - -Basic
 - -Scheduled truck purchases
 - -Port purchase options
 - -Scheduled rail development
- Optimized in Minemax Scheduler
 - -Uses MILP
 - -Builds mathematical model for you (generic solution)
- Post-analysis for comparative financials
 - -Make best-case assumptions to derive unmodelled costs
 - -Combine together to calculate comparable NPV



Basic scenario: Setup

Modelled

- -Ore/waste mining
- Not modelled
 - -Truck purchases, so all trucks purchased
 - Provide for 10 000 000 tonnes per period
 - Cost \$9 000 000 each
 - Have a 4-period lifespan
 - -Port purchases or options
 - Scheduled in first period used
 - Largest option required is selected
 - -Rail development
 - Scheduled in first period used



Basic scenario: Results

• Comparable NPV is \$2 088 082 935

• Purchases 67 Big Trucks





Scheduled truck purchases scenario: Setup

Modelled

- -Ore/waste mining
- -Truck purchases
- Not modelled
 - -Port purchases or options
 - Scheduled in first period used
 - Largest option required is selected
 - -Rail development
 - Scheduled in first period used



Scheduled truck purchases scenario: Setup

				0		Ор	ptimize	
home project model scenario reports						scenario Tr	ucking & P	'orts 🔹
overview time periods finan	e settings	add cl				clone		
cost & revenue capit	tal expenditure							
define sets precedences								
● ■ Big Truck \$9,000,000 +	process	period 1	period 2	period 3	period 4	period 5 on	ward	+
description Big Truck	Total Tonnes	• 9,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	8,000,000		0	x
expenditure 9,000,000 c								
✓ ✓ Small Truck \$4,000,000								
	number of expansion pe	riods 5						
	max instances 100							



- Comparable NPV is \$2 117 280 324
 - -Increase of \$29 197 389
- Purchased 48 Big Trucks and 26 Small Trucks
 - -(changed from Basic scenario, which was 67 Big Trucks)
- Changed order of mining pits
 - -3rd period now mines Pit C to make better use of trucks



Scheduled truck purchases scenario: Results

(movements of Total Tonnes direct 350,000,000 pit group Pit A pit group Pit B pit group Pit C 300,000,000 250,000,000 200,000,000 _ _ _ 150,000,000 100,000,000 50,000,000 2022 2023 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2024 2025 2026



Port purchase options scenario: Setup

Modelled

- -Ore/waste mining
- -Truck purchases
- -Port purchases and options
- Not modelled
 - -Rail development
 - Scheduled in first period used



Port purchase options scenario: Setup

			Minemax Sche	duler Professional	SAVE	SETTINGS HELP	>
🖤 Aus	IMM S	SMP 2014					Optimize
home p	roject	model scenario report	S			scenario Tr	ucking & Ports 🔻
overview	time perio	ods financials precedences co	onstraints optim	nize settings			add clone
cost & re	evenue	capital expenditure					
define se	ets prec	edences					
sets		set name					
Port X	•	Port X					
Port Y	×	available capital expenditure		capital expenditure			
	^	Port Y1	>	Port X1			
	v	Port Y2	<	Port X2			
		Port Y3		Port X3			
					S		



- Comparable NPV is \$2 169 856 428
 - -Increase of \$52 576 103 over previous scenario
 - -Increase of \$81 773 492 over Basic scenario
- Chooses one of the smaller options for Port X (X1)
- Now 45 Big Trucks and 27 Small Trucks
- Considerably more mining in final period



Port purchase options scenario: Results





Scheduled rail development scenario: Setup

Modelled

- -Ore/waste mining
- -Truck purchases
- -Port purchases and options
- -Rail development



Scheduled rail development scenario: Setup

			Minemax Scheduler Professional	SAVE SETTINGS HELP		. 🗆 ×
) AusiMN	1 SMP 2014	1			Optin	nize
ome projec	t model sce	rts	scenario	Trucking & Port	s 🔹	
overview time p	eriods financials	constraints optimize settings		add o	lone	
ost & reveni	ue capital e	expenditur	e			
define sets p	recedences					
CAPEX	Preceding CAPEX	Lag	Description			+
Port X1	Rail B to Port X	C	"Pit B to Port X" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	Port X1"	- (
Port X2	Rail B to Port X	C	"Pit B to Port X" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	Port X2"		×
Port X3	Rail B to Port X	C	"Pit B to Port X" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Port X3"		c
Port V1	Rail C to Port Y	C	"Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Port Y1"		1
	Rail C to Port Y	C	"Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Port Y2"		P
Port Y2	Rail C to Port V	C	"Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Port Y3"		
Port Y2 Port Y3			"Pit B to Port X" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Pit A to Port X"		
Port Y2 Port Y3 Pit A to Port X	Pit B to Port X	C				
Port Y2 Port Y3 Pit A to Port X Pit A to Port Y	Pit B to Port X Pit C to Port Y	0	"Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Pit A to Port Y"		
Port Y2 Port Y3 Pit A to Port X Pit A to Port Y Pit B to Port Y	Pit B to Port X Pit C to Port Y Pit C to Port Y	0	"Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing " "Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Pit A to Port Y" 'Pit B to Port Y"		
Port Y2 Port Y3 Pit A to Port X Pit A to Port Y Pit B to Port Y Pit A to Port Y	Pit B to Port X Pit C to Port Y Pit C to Port Y Pit B to Port Y	c c 0	"Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing " "Pit C to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing " "Pit B to Port Y" must be purchased at the same time or before purchasing "	'Pit A to Port Y" 'Pit B to Port Y" 'Pit A to Port Y"		



• Optimal NPV is \$2 297 393 727

- Increase of \$127 537 299 over the previous scenario
- Increase of \$209 310 792 (~10%) over Basic scenario
- Port X (X1) not purchased until the second period
- Rail link between Pit A and Pit B delayed
- Pit A delayed from 1st period to 7th



Scheduled rail development scenario: Results







Each scenario changes previous decisions

- -Trucks alter schedule
- -Port options alter trucks
- -Rail alters port
- Each additional CapEx modelled increases NPV



Analysis: NPV over time





Conclusions

- Modelling CapEx isn't difficult
 - -Just requires the right framework
- Actually saves time
 - -Calculating comparative/correct NPVs is laborious
 - -Experimentation is slower
- Each unmodelled CapEx is a missed opportunity
- Additional information makes for additional profit





